

Appln. Serial No. 09/977,038
Amendment dated January 31, 2006
Reply to Office Action Mailed December 1, 2005

AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

This listing of claims replaces all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

- 1 1. (Currently Amended) A test system comprising:
2 at least one processor;
3 an emulation module executable on the at least one processor to receive
4 environment information of a database system separate from the test system, the
5 emulation module to emulate an environment of the database system based on the
6 environment information;
7 a first module executable in the emulated environment and adapted to receive a
8 set of queries and to provide a set of candidate indexes for the set of queries, the first
9 module adapted to eliminate one or more candidate indexes based on one or more
10 predetermined criteria; and
11 a second module executable in the emulated environment and adapted to generate
12 a recommended index from the set of candidate indexes.
- 1 2. (Previously Presented) The test system of claim 1, wherein the set of queries
2 comprises a set of SQL statements.
- 1 3. (Previously Presented) The test system of claim 1, wherein the second module is
2 adapted to generate at least another recommended index from the set of candidate
3 indexes.
- 1 4. (Previously Presented) The test system of claim 1, wherein the second module
2 comprises an optimizer that is adapted to use statistics.
- 1 5. (Previously Presented) The test system of claim 4, wherein the statistics are based
2 on a scan of a sample of one or more tables, the sample less than all the rows of the one
3 or more tables.

Appl. Serial No. 09/977,038
Amendment dated January 31, 2006
Reply to Office Action Mailed December 1, 2005

1 6. (Previously Presented) The test system of claim 5, further comprising a graphical
2 user interface to receive an indication of a user-specified size of the sample.

1 7. (Currently Amended) A system comprising:
2 at least one processor;
3 a first module ~~adapted~~ executable on the at least one processor to receive a set of
4 queries and to provide a set of candidate indexes for the set of queries, the first module
5 adapted to eliminate one or more candidate indexes based on one or more predetermined
6 criteria; and
7 an optimizer adapted to generate a recommended index from the set of candidate
8 indexes,
9 wherein the one or more predetermined criteria comprises a threshold change rate,
10 the first module adapted to eliminate one or more candidate indexes having a change rate
11 exceeding the threshold change rate.

1 8. (Original) The system of claim 7, wherein the first module is adapted to further
2 eliminate a candidate index that is a subset of another candidate index.

1 9. (Previously Presented) The test system of claim 1, wherein the second module
2 comprises an analysis module and an optimizer, the analysis module adapted to apply a
3 genetic algorithm, the analysis module adapted to cooperate with the optimizer to
4 generate the recommended index using the genetic algorithm.

1 10. (Previously Presented) The test system of claim 9, wherein the first module is
2 adapted to provide the set of candidate indexes by identifying the candidate indexes from
3 the set of queries and defining the set of queries in a database.

1 11. (Previously Presented) The test system of claim 10, wherein the analysis module
2 is adapted to access the database to retrieve the candidate indexes.

Appln. Serial No. 09/977,038
Amendment dated January 31, 2006
Reply to Office Action Mailed December 1, 2005

- 1 12. (Previously Presented) The test system of claim 10, further comprising a
2 validation module adapted to validate the recommended index in a database system.
- 1 13. (Previously Presented) The test system of claim 12, further comprising a user
2 interface to receive user-specified one or more indexes, the optimizer adapted to generate
3 a cost associated with a query plan based on the user-specified one or more indexes.
- 1 14. (Previously Presented) The test system of claim 13, wherein the user interface is
2 adapted to receive a user-specified percentage value, the system further comprising
3 another module to collect statistics based on a sample of rows of one or more tables, a
4 size of the sample based on the user-specified percentage value.
- 1 15. (Previously Presented) The test system of claim 14, further comprising another
2 module adapted to provide a hint on which table or tables statistics need to be collected.
- 1 16. (Previously Presented) The test system of claim 10, wherein the analysis module
2 is adapted to access the database to retrieve the candidate indexes.
- 1 17. (Previously Presented) The test system of claim 1, wherein the second module
2 comprises an analysis module and an optimizer, the analysis module adapted to apply a
3 predetermined algorithm, the analysis module adapted to cooperate with the optimizer to
4 generate the recommended index using the predetermined algorithm.
- 1 18. (Previously Presented) The test system of claim 17, wherein the analysis module
2 is adapted to submit candidate indexes to the optimizer, the optimizer adapted to
3 determine the cost of one or more of the queries based on the candidate indexes.
- 1 19. (Previously Presented) The test system of claim 18, wherein the optimizer is
2 adapted to select the candidate index associated with a lowest cost as the recommended
3 index.

Appln. Serial No. 09/977,038
Amendment dated January 31, 2006
Reply to Office Action Mailed December 1, 2005

1 20. (Previously Presented) The test system of claim 1, wherein the set of queries
2 comprises a workload captured from the database system, and wherein the database
3 system is a parallel system having plural access modules, the environment information
4 containing information regarding the parallel system and plural access modules.

1 21. (Previously Presented) The test system of claim 20, wherein the optimizer is
2 adapted to compute costs for the candidate indexes in the emulated environment of the
3 database system.

1 22. – 39. (Cancelled)

1 40. (Previously Presented) An article comprising at least one storage medium
2 containing instructions that when executed cause a system to:
3 receive a set of queries;
4 generate a set of candidate indexes from the set of queries;
5 eliminate candidate indexes based on one or more predetermined criteria;
6 invoke an optimizer to perform cost analysis of the candidate indexes; and
7 use the cost analysis to select a recommended index for a database system,
8 wherein eliminating candidate indexes based on one or more predetermined
9 criteria comprises at least one of:
10 eliminating candidate indexes that are changed with updates at a rate
11 greater than a predetermined change rate threshold; and
12 eliminating a candidate index that is a subset of another candidate index.

1 41. – 42. (Cancelled)

1 43. (Original) The article of claim 40, wherein the instructions when executed cause
2 the system to apply a genetic algorithm to select the recommended index.

Appln. Serial No. 09/977,038
Amendment dated January 31, 2006
Reply to Office Action Mailed December 1, 2005

1 44. (Previously Presented) The article of claim 40, wherein the system is a test system
2 separate from the database system, the instructions when executed causing the test system
3 to:

4 import environment information regarding the database system;
5 emulate an environment of the database system based on the imported
6 environment information,

7 wherein the generating, eliminating, invoking, and using acts are performed in the
8 emulated environment.

1 45. (Previously Presented) The article of claim 44, wherein the environment
2 information comprises cost-related information, statistics, and random samples from the
3 database system.

4

1 46. (Previously Presented) The article of claim 1, wherein the environment
2 information comprises cost-related information, statistics, and random samples from the
3 database system.